Binasa ko na lahat tungkol sa pagpatay kay Ninoy. Apat na dekada na’t mababaw parin ito sa memorya ng mga Pilipino. At sa dami dami ng haka haka sa online na pati si Tiglao at Tulfo parang walang kaalam alam. Ikwento ko sainyo ang tunay na nangyari sa pagpatay kay Ninoy Aquino.

Sinulat ko ito pagkatapos ko basahin ang 2017 interview ni Irwin Ver, anak ni Fabian, kung saan sinabi niya na naniniwala siyang alam ng tatay niya ang tunay na nagpapatay. 2017 Esquire he stated that he believes his father did find out, but he took the knowledge to his grave. “It’s better you don’t know,” General Ver told him, “You’re still in your military career.”

This branches the story into two. Irwin’s Military career states that it happened before the Vers were exiled in 1986. Therefore the Marcos gov’t knew who was the real killer when they charged Galman as the gunman. This would implicate them to the assassination as either the mastermind or, learning it later on, part of the cover up.

The second would be, just to exhaust all options, after their exile. Marcos, Fabian, and Ninoy were brods in their fraternity. It would be nothing but betrayal and false brotherhood to not expose the truth about the killer of their brod and bring justice to his death. If it were one of their EDSA rivals, they would’ve exposed it. But in silence, we can only assume their part in it.

Let’s talk about facts in the Criminal Case 10011 and 10011 affirmed by Supreme Court in GR No. 96027-28. Bakit hindi si Galman at bakit si Moreno.

Marcos insists Galman Communist Plot

“Finally, we are not moved by petitioners' assertion that the forensic evidence may have been manipulated and misinterpreted during the trial of the case. Again, petitioners did not allege concrete facts to support their crass claim. Hence, we find the same to be unfounded and purely speculative.”

And since as Filipinos, we stand with the truth.

The Marcos government insisted in their version from the beginning (even until the soldiers’ were released release by Gloria). They state that it was a communist plot with Galman as the assassin. Ninoy was killed in the tarmac (landing) and that in their initial findings the bullet was shot downward but then changed it to upward from the back, bounced on the petrous bone, and then down the chin. This would later be disproved. The stairs had 20 steps, an important piece of evidence.

Marcos insists in an interview the government version that Galman was the killer and rejected his own Board’s findings. He told David Briscoe in September 9, 1983 that "I am convinced that if any member of my government were involved, I would have known somehow even at a fairly low level, I would have known.” And CBS in another interview in May, 1984 “Well, you are of course wrong. What you have been reading are the newspapers and the newspaper reports have been biased. The evidence still proves that Galman was the killer. The evidence also shows that there were intelligence reports connecting the communist party to the killing.”

This shows that the truth is not hidden from Marcos and that he either knew or eventually knew. His insistence is validation that he knew and played along with the government version despite the counter findings.

Supreme Court Presents Facts of the Case

The Supreme Court declared the Sandiganbayan ruling in December 2, 1985 to be a sham trial (G.R. No. 72670).

“The wound of entrance having been at a higher elevation than the wound of exit, there can be no other conclusion but that the trajectory was downward.”

They were able to recreate the scenario and have shown that the petrous bone could not handle such force from a .357 magnum or a .45 caliber. It was Alejandrino Javier who was able to recreate the downward theory. Proving further the truth of the discoveries.

Evidence proves that Aquino was shot 10 seconds on the stairs after exiting the service door at the 11th step from the top.

Irwin in his 2017 Esquire stated that he believes his father did find out, but he took the knowledge to his grave. “It’s better you don’t know,” General Ver told him, “You’re still in your military career.”

This branches the story into two. Irwin’s Military career states that it happened before the Vers were exiled in 1986. Therefore the Marcos gov’t knew who was the real killer when they charged Galman as the gunman. This would implicate them to the assassination as either the mastermind or, learning it later on, part of the cover up.

The second would be, just to exhaust all options, after their exile. Marcos, Fabian, and Ninoy were brods in their fraternity. It would be nothing but betrayal and false brotherhood to not expose the truth about the killer of their brod and bring justice to his death. If it were one of their EDSA rivals, they would’ve exposed it. But in silence, we can only assume their part in it.

reading the statements of the witnesses reflects the fear they felt at the time. The courage they had to muster to finally talk. And endure endless character assassinations for truth to be heard

how soldiers and their sponsors would lie and keep loyal to their masters even when the jig is up. and how an entire system can become complicit to injustice